

No Frills 2008
Florida Atlantic University
February 30, 2008

Call to order – NRHH Boardroom at 2:45pm EST
Ron Hamner roll call
Quorum present

Ron: I am appointing Larry Faerman as the Advisor for the NRHH Boardroom for this conference as Korrin is away on other matters. We are going to do a buddy system between the new and veteran NRHH members. This boardroom is not that serious, we do work and have fun doing it; so no stress. We will use Ron's rules. Any questions?

Presentation of Agenda - Agendas were passed out.
Agenda approved.

Presentation of minutes from SAACURH 2007.
Ron: Are there any corrections that need to be made to the minutes?

Motion to accept the minutes - UTK
Seconded – Murray State
Minutes passed

Boardroom policy -
Ron: The work we do here is work, lets not get confused, but it's fun. We'll have a lot of fun. Let's keep this place clean to help out the conference staff. Let's pay attention and be as cognitive as possible.

Award bid process

NRHH Building Block Chapter of the Year

Ron: How many people have the bid? How many have read it (majority raised hands). I love all of you. You have no clue how happy I am right now.

UTK
Con:
P: (App State) theme
Con: (FSU) lack of publication
Pro: (GA SW) well organized
Con:
Pro: (UNCW) budget
Con:
Pro: (UF) great goals
Con:

Discussion (2:54)

POI: (App State) can the chapter representative be present during bid discussion

Ron: yes, they can remain here it's an award, not a RBD bid or anything like that.

Murray State: I like how they clarified members. They know what they're getting into. A lot of people don't know the commitment and they think its an honorary chapter. They have it in there and its visible.

App State: they've increase their National OTM Winners

Radford: the budget is in there and that's easily missed by other bids

FSU: the committees were organized

Call to Question – App State

Seconded - GA Southern

Ron: Three choices: UTK, No Confidence and Abstain

POI: (Ron) cons can be used to improve the bid. It can be changed before sending it to nationals

SAACURH NRHH Building Block Chapter OTY – UT, Knoxville

First Year Experience

Elon University

Con: (FSU) does not include the awards of the individuals

Pro: (Murray State) part of the bid team for state conference

Con: (App State) order and layout of design, format

Pro: (UNCW) stepped into leadership

Con: (FAU) leadership skills are only in NRHH, unclear specifications of involvements

Pro: (ECU) leadership forces

Con: (UVaW) participation on regional level

Pro: (GA Southern) multiple letters of recommendation

Con:

Pro:

Con:

Pro: (App State) highly regarded by RHA peers

Con:

University of Florida

Con: (Murray State) no NRHH experience

Pro: (FSU) involved outside of housing on campus

Con:

Pro: (USF) the layout

Con: (App State) unutilized white space

Pro: (GA Southern) GPA
Con: (GA SW) more letters of support
Pro: (Murray State) awards received
Con: (UVaW) experience on RHA level
Pro: (FSU) program experience
Con: (UNCW) inconsistent in format
Pro: (ECU) freshman leadership council
POI: (Radford) how many pages?
Ron: only 8, but not including title
Con:
Pro: (USM) plans to attend NACURH
Con:
Pro: (Maryville) involved
Con:

University of Virginia's College at Wise
Con: (App State) length
Pro: (FIU) involved in different aspects of student life
Con:
Pro: (NCSU) sought opinion from peers
Con: (UF) busy background
Pro: (FAU) good GPA w/ involvements
Con: (ECU) quotes
Pro: (Maryville) driven
Con:
Pro: (App State) diversified
Con:
Pro: (UVaW) presented of state and regional level
Con:

Valdosta State University
Con:
Pro: (Clemson) very involved
Con: (NCSU) very short letter of recommendation
Pro: (App State) layout
Con: (Murray State) lack of NRHH experience
Pro: (UK) strong personal essay
Con:
Pro: (UNCW) housing experience
Con:
Pro: (Radford) currently involved in leadership
Con:

Discussion

POI: (Murray State) do we have to mention all?

Ron: You have to mention them all at some part or another. Even if it is referencing them through the statement, "the others do not."

FSU: whereas UF explains that they will work on the executive board and NACURH, the others don't state future plans

UNC-CH: the other three don't have elaborate recommendations from the dean of students, UVaW does

Radford: VSU and UVaW are easy to pick experiences out of, whereas others are not

ECU: whereas VSUs bid is concise, the others are wordy

App State: UVaW has quotes from peers the others do not

Discussion closed [3:14pm]

Call to Question – App State

Second – Murray State

Ron: Six choices: Elon, UF, UVaW, VSU, No Confidence and Abstain

SAACURH First Year Experience OTY – Valdosta State University

NRHH Outstanding Chapter of the Year

Georgia Southern University

Con: (App State) copyright infringement

Pro: (FAU) specific advisor and chapter goals

Con:

Pro: (FIU) strong community service

Con:

Pro: (UTK) clear layout

Con:

Murray State University

Con: (App State) lack of creativity

Pro: (Murray State) double membership and double OTM submission

Con: (FAU) layout

Pro: (UNCW) conference involvement

Con: (GA SW) no future goals

Pro: (ETSU) easy to read constitution

Con: (VSU) bland theme and lots of white space

Pro: (Radford) re-attainable goals

Con: (UTK) no nation or regional OTM

Pro: (GA SW) huge NRHH participation

Con: (UNC-CH) empty white space

Pro: (App State) NRHH toll free number
Con: (UF) sketchy recommendation letters, no letterhead, no signatures
Pro:
Con: (FSU) lack of active service programs
Pro:
Con:
Pro:

University of North Carolina – Wilmington
Con: (UVaW) beyond length requirements
Pro:

POI: (Ron) I will have to check the bid and clarify
POC: (Ron) the bid is fine

App state: can I few a minutes to review the bid?
Ron: yes

A few minutes will be used to review the bid [3:34pm]
Restart at 3:36pm

Pro: (USF) theme
Con: (GA Southern) recommendation letters are written to NCARH committee
Pro: (FSU) leadership development
Con: (Maryville) program originality
Pro: (Clemson) functional goals
Con: (App State) graphic image is not on page 7
Pro: (FIU) creative ways to write OTM
Con: (UTK) not much mention of service
Pro: (UNC-CH) clear division of responsibility
Con:
Pro: (UNCW) successful new executive board position
Con:
Pro: (GA SW) very involved in conferences, pg. 13 and 14
Con:

Discussion:

App State: Wilmington offered checklist the other schools did not
FAU: GA Southern and UNCW theme was used through the others weren't
App State: GA Southern has more creativity
UGA: GA Southern had national OTMs the others didn't
UTK: UNCW had strong participation the others didn't
UNC-CH: UNCW explains involvement outside housing and the others didn't

Call to question – App State
Seconded - FGCU

Ron: Five choices: GA Southern, Murray State, UNCW, No Confidence or abstain

Ron: We will do a roll call.
Roll call

Second vote on narrowed done awards

Ron: Four Choices: GA Southern, UNCW, No Confidence, abstain

SAACURH NRHH Outstanding Chapter OTY – UNC-Wilmington

SEAHO Student of the Year

Appalachian State University

Con: (FSU) lack of conference experience

Pro: (Murray State) strong experience in NRHH and RHA

POC: Fletus is the NRHH Rep at ASU

Con:

Pro: (ECU) Student of the Year for NCARH

Con:

Pro: (Maryville) great program ideas

Con:

Elon University

Con: (UNCW) didn't win student of the year at NCARH

POC: Not being selected as a state representative is not important for regional awards

Pro: (FSU) involved with leadership program on campus

Con: (UTK) no regional involvement

Pro: (Murray State) takes 20 to 22 hours per semester

Con: (Radford) format

Pro:

Con: (FSU) lack of NRHH experience

Pro:

Con:

Pro:

[3:55pm]

Georgia Southern University

Con:

Pro: (GA SW) works hard to include all students on campus in programming

Con: (ECU) font on pg. 2

Pro: (FSU) very involved with hall council and NRHH
Con: (Maryville) might be overloaded
Pro: (Embry) impressive GPA
Con: (UNCW) length
Pro: (UF) great front page
Con:
Pro: (GA SW) very involved with NRHH and other actives outside of the halls
Con:
Pro:
Con:

North Carolina State University

Con: (UTK) confusing theme
Pro: (Clemson) involved on and off campus and keeping good GPA
Con: (FSU) lacks regional involvement
Pro: (Maryville) award recognition
Con: (ECU) Is not NCARH student of the Year
Pro:
Con: (UVaW) format is distracting
Pro: (Embry) good time management
Con: (GA Southern) more about ipod than student
Pro: (UTK) good leadership experience in NRHH and RHA
Con: (UF) one letter of recommendation
Pro:
Con: (Maryville) theme was hard to follow
POC: (GA SW) two letters on 7 and 8
Pro:
Con: (UNCW) busy pages
Pro:

University of Florida

Con: (Murray State) lack of NRHH experience
Pro: (ECU) cover page
Con:
Pro: (UNCW) won FARH FYE a few weeks ago
Con: (Radford) dense format
Pro: (Maryville) creative
Con:
Pro: (FSU) active in housing and outside
Con: (UVaW) didn't win student of year
Pro: (GA SW) involved in community service activity
Con:
Pro:
Con:
Pro: (GA SW) good letters of recommendation
Con:

Western Carolina University

(was reviewed on online site. Bethany scrolled [4:09 pm – 4:19])

Con: (FGCU) grammar

Pro: (Embry) Top 40 program at SAACURH

Con: (USM) conference experience

POI: (UNC-CH) has national and regional conference experience

Pro: (UTK) lots of campus leadership roles and participation

Con: (FSU) no NRH experience

Pro: (ECU) involved in multicultural organizations

Con: (GA Southern) majority written by student

Pro: (USM) increase community Service

Con:

Pro: (Maryville) being an RA was able to interact with residents

Con: (UNCW) didn't present bid at state level

Pro:

Con: (UTK) low GPA

Pro: (Embry) elected homecoming queen

Con:

Pro:

Con:

Pro:

Con:

POI: (App State) can GPA can be disclosed?

Ron: it can be a help or a hindrance. It can't be asked but can be given by the writer

Discussion:

UTK: move to divide the question to three

Second - UF

*Desent by GA Southern

Ron: In this process we will be cancelling out the bottom three and discussing the top three. Are there any questions on what we are about to do? Write your top three bids on one sheet.

FSU: That means three institutions won't receive any discussion

Ron: That is correct

UNCW: Can I get voting paper?

Ron: Yes, I'll be right there?

Top Three Bids: Georgia Southern University, Appalachian State University and the University of Florida

Discussion:

GA Southern: where UF and GA Southern have goals App State doesn't

GA SW: come back

UNC-CH: where GA Southern and App State are clean and consistent, UF is not

Motion to Call to Question - UF

Seconded - USM

Opposed – UTK and Radford

Second round of voting: App State and GA Southern

Discussion

GA SW: are we voting because the last vote was disqualified

Ron: no, there is a percentage needed to win an award

POC: (UF) letter of recommendation in good standing at App State

Murray State: where as App State had strong NRHH and RHA experience the others don't

POC: (FSU) now we are just looking at App State and GA Southern

Ron: Yes, that is correct

UVaW: App State has a lot of awards, GA Southern does not

Motion to Call to Question – GA Southern

Seconded - UVaW

Ron: Four choices: App State, GA Southern, No Confidence or Abstain

SEAHO Student OTY – Appalachian State University

[4:51pm]

NRHH Outstanding Member OTY

Georgia Southern University

Con:

Pro: (UF) great design and theme

Con: (UNCW) hard to find name in the beginning

Pro: (Murray State) strong programs and community service

Con: (UTK) size format hard to read

Pro: (GA SW) all programs are educational and fundraising

Con:

Pro: (Embry) good time management

Con:

Pro:

Con:

University of Virginia's College at Wise

POC: (FAU) are these suppose to have letter of recommendation from people in RHA stated on criteria

Ron: it can be anyone who works with the member

Con: (FSU) lack regional and national involvement

Pro: (App State) involved with many activities and conferences

Con: (UF) inconsistent design

Pro: (UNCW) goals

Con:

Pro: (UNC-CH) various awards

Con:

Pro: (FIU) successfully overcame various obstacles

Con:

Discussion:

UTK: whereas UVaW has goals GA Southern does not

App State: whereas UVaW is more readable GA Southern is not

UNC-CH: GA Southern is innovative and creative, UVaW is conventional

Motion to Call to Question - FGCU

Seconded – App State

Ron: Four choices: GA Southern, UVaW, No Confidence or Abstain

[5:02]

Ron: Roll Call

Revote

NRHH Outstanding Member OTY – Georgia Southern University

Ron: pass your bid criteria sheets forward so the winners can improve their bid for the national award.

Ron: I would like to entertain a motion to move into a legislative session

So moved – App State

Seconded - FGCU

MM AT – Creation of the NRHH Representative of the Year Award

- proponent speech – Ron Hamner

Motion to bring MM AT to the floor - UVaW

Seconded - FSU

Ron read MM AT [5:12pm]

Proponent speech (Ron):

There are currently 2 regions with this award- GLACURH and PACURH It is a must because NRHH Representatives work just as hard as a NCC. It is the goal of the national level that this award will be funded by OCM, but at this level it will be funded by SAACURH until that contract is created. With that I yield.

GA Southern: is this an award that is going to be looked into the future as a national award.

Ron: yes, as soon as the other regions sign on then it will be funded by OCM. It should be about a year

UVaW: Yield to Alesha

Alesha: What was the other region?

Ron: GLACURH

Motion to Call to Question- GA Southern

Seconded - FAU

Motion to Vote by Acclamation – GA Southern

Seconded – GA SW

MM AT passes by acclamation [5:19pm]

MM AV – Amendment to the Student of the Year Award

* Proponent speech – Ron Hamner

Motion to bring MM AV to the floor – GA SW

Seconded - UVaW

Motion to Waive the Reading of MM AV – Murray State

Seconded - UF

Opposed- UGA, UNCW, UTK, GA SW and App State

Read Anyway,

Proponent Speech (Ron):

It makes it fair that individuals that aren't NCCs and NRHH Representatives are able to win the wards. NCCs and NRHH Representatives are already have their own award. It makes the playing level fair. With that I yield.

Motion to Call the Question – Murray State

Seconded - VSU

Motion to Vote by Acclamation - Embry
Seconded - USF

MM AV Passes by Acclamation [5:25pm]

MM AS – Removal of the NRHH Affiliation Fee
* Proponent Speech – Ron Hamner

Motion to bring MM AS to the floor - FGCU
Seconded - FSU

Motion to Waive the Reading - FGCU
Seconded - UF

Proponent Speech (Ron):

This is to make sure that Lindsey does not have to fix this. The affiliation fee has been put together so there is no need for this grant. With that I yield.

FGCU: Where will this money go?

Ron: It will be rolled into the SAACURH Affiliation Grant to help pay the additional cost of affiliation.

Motion to Call to Question – GA Southern
Seconded - App State

Motion to Vote by Acclamation - FAU
Seconded – GA SW

MM AS Passes by Acclamation [5:29pm]

MM AU – NRHH Service Award
* Proponent Speech – Ron Hamner

Motion to Bring MM AU to the Table – UNC-CH
Seconded - Radford

Motion to Waive the Reading - USF
Seconded - UVaW

Proponent Speech (Ron):

The NRHH Service Award is given to 4 individual that are recommend by SEC. The way it was created for the other regions like PACURH, they have the award and it is given or considered the equivalent of the Golden Louie. It allows another award that can be given to others that have made an impact to the region. It belongs in our policy and should be in

the regional one as well. There is no page count. It is all done by recommendation. With that I yield.

Motion to Call to Question – GA Southern
Seconded - Winthrop

Motion to Vote by Acclamation - Clemson
Seconded – Radford

MM AU Passes by Acclamation [5:32pm]

** Chapter present during legislative session accounted in acclamation- 26-0-0

Ron: That is the last piece of business that we have.

We've gone through the National NRHH Policy Book to make it more streamline. There is a lot of NSRO items left within it for protection purposes of NACURH. The policies have been looked over to help maintain our chapters and make the policy book more user friendly. The title RAD was removed and replaced with AD-NRHH. The ADBA/ADF/ADAF is also a regional associate director (RAD) so that is why we have dismissed that title. It is going to be a different process with the new position, NAN, being added to the National Executive Team. The policy books could be incorporated together thus making them one in 3-5 years. Email me your chapter constitutions as I will need to confirm their correctness before any chapter can affiliate. My email address is sa_nrhh@nacurh.org. That way Lindsay will not have large gobs of paper to tote around after transitions.

FGCU: Are we staying here?

Ron: We have to go back to the NCC Boardroom

FGCU: What about after dinner?

Ron: No, we'll be in the other boardroom. We have completed all NRHH business. We are more effective and efficient. Don't tell them that.

GA SW: We will miss you Ron.

Ron: I will miss you guys too but I promise that I will be around. You will see. I would like to entertain a motion to close the NRHH Boardroom for No Frills 2008 hosted by FAU in Boca Raton, FL.

Motion to adjourn – App State
Seconded – GA SW

Meeting adjourned at 5:37pm in honor of Bill Pickett, former NRHH Regional Advisor