

GLACURH 2008
Hosted by Indiana University

- I. Call to order at 9:48am
- II. Roll Call
- III. Intro of guests
- IV. NO-Frills presentation
 - a. Ram
- V. Medium School of the year
 - a. UW- La Crosse
 - b. Question and Answer
 - i. Goals – always improving. Continually improving. Eye catching programs. With the demo of 2 res halls this year, balancing everything out. Increase presence
 - ii. WIU – no Exec board positions mentioned in bid. How did E-board contribute? Different for FYE halls and upper division halls. Meetings are always busy and issues are brought to e-board during meeting
 - iii. Marquette – Biggest challenge and how overcome? How they can improve and become better. Sad to see to halls go down, and how to shift those students other places. Challenge is spreading those students around throughout campus.
 - iv. Illinois state – other students organization work with? Cultural organization, bring in cultural programs and include them in. A Japanese student put on a program about his culture last week. Would like to work with Greek like on campus. Similar goals
 - v. Ferris state – Biggest community service program? Almost naked mile. The chancellor comes out and raises money
 - vi. UW – Whitewater – How does average student see affects and get them involved? They see it through newsletters, Reps go around the halls, as well as issue boxes. They like to get student input.
 - vii. Signed a Pepsi contract? What doing with the money? Talk about different plans, but discussion got tabled and nothing is publicized to students.
 - viii. Illinois state – Where money comes from? Office res life.
 - ix. St. Norbert – move to end Q & A
 1. Second – UW whitewater
 - c. Pro / con
 - d. Discussion
 - i. Ball state – went through the programs put on
 - ii. Illinois state – find it frustrating that programs have no dates
 - iii. SIU – Edwardsville – programs repeated
 - iv. W. Michigan – layout innovative
 - v. Michigan – lack of knowledge about budget
 - vi. St. Norbert – understand about challenges this year and next year
 - vii. Grand valley – fixing issues on campus
 - viii. Illinois state – lack of index is hard. Also required in the constitution
 - ix. St. Norbert calls the questions
 1. No objections
 2. Vote, options are UW - La Crosse, No, Abstain
 3. Vote was
- VI. Large School of the Year

- a. Eastern Michigan - Question and Answer
 - i. WIU – Intro general, how does it separate from other schools? More programming opportunities. Newly renovated halls.
 - ii. WIU – what does
 - iii. Ferris state- increase RHA presence? Each e-board member worked on own to do that. Secretary main monthly newsletter. VP has increase floor house governor input. Each floor has representative. As well as working on surveys. Conducted on about housing issues, over 600 surveys collected. Result with more vegetarian options. RHA president is on many university committees
 - iv. Ball state – little sibs week? Annual? Yes
 - v. WIU – biggest challenge? Transition. Completely new e-board.
 - vi. W. Michigan – Scout banana – passionate about, its an organization who raises money to send to Africa and help that area. Doing a lot of work with them. Did penny wars, human chess tournament. Share lords hope – after a death on campus, it was set up to raise money for AIDs in Africa research. Philanthropy for the year. Working with the res halls to help with everything that happened after death
 - vii. Ball state – amazing programs, why this year? Went above and beyond this year. Involvement in programs this years’ has greatly improved. Also, involvement with administration. Took great strides in what committees they were on. A part of a regional committee, as well as committee with dining halls.
 - viii. Marquette – What have you done with diversity? Work with groups to do programs. Work with other dynamics, such as athletics, as well as a big holiday program. Celebrating all holidays
 - ix. St. Norbert – extend time to finish question
 - 1. Second – Marquette
 - 2. Consent
- b. Illinois State University – Question and Answer
 - i. GVSU – how create cohesion? Got with the president and got the 4 largest organizations together to plan a pig-out with different programs. Also other programs with other organizations. Connected with Indian organization yesterday
 - ii. Marquette – best community service program? 1st time this year, just complete at a small animal shelter. Non-for profit, for 4.5 hours walked pets and other. Now monthly
 - iii. WIU – budget – how accomplished? Specific set of money in budget for specific programs.
 - iv. UW – Parkside – Increase program attendance, how? This year, gone out to res halls to post flyers, use a lists-serv, gone door to door, word of mouth the best. Great turn-out for programs
 - v. Ball state – retreats and recognition, discrepancy? Typo. What is done in passing the gavel, why so much spent? It’s a celebration for money organization with a meal and for parents. In Union center and costs to book room. Free meal for those 9 different governments across campus
 - vi. WIU – largest challenge, how solved? Brand new e-board. No carry over from last year with no transition period. Campus wide, lost a building a lost 800 beds. Also renovate losing 800 more beds

- vii. NCC – Traveling circus programs diversity? Gathered items of Hispanic culture, went around to lobbies in west campus. Connected with dining center and they provided a meal.
 - viii. W. Michigan – types of philanthropy? Ties in with service projects starting this year. Collecting toys for kids
 - ix. WIU – explain no explanation of e-board? Not displayed because of space requirements and redundancy
 - x. GVSU – budget? 3 phone lines in office
 - xi. SIU – Edwardsville – move to extend time to finish question
 - 1. Second – Illinois Wesleyan
 - 2. Consent
- c. School of the Year Discussion
- i. St. Mary's – learn from past
 - ii. WU – Parkside – EMU had wrong facts in its bid. Should not be considered for large school of the year because wrong numbers in hall population
 - iii. Marquette – Illinois commitment to diversity is amazing.
 - iv. Stout – yield
 - v. St. Norbert – yield
 - vi. U of M – Eastern letter of Rec 1. Other one has RBD letter
 - vii. Eastern - bid was unorganized
 - viii. NCC – Bids themselves are representation of the amount of effort put forward
 - ix. U of Michigan – Move to rule Eastern Michigan bid unconstitutional
 - 1. Second – Saginaw Valley
 - a. Discussion about amendment
 - i. Illinois state – population size is big deal
 - ii. Platteville – fonts size wrong
 - iii. Ball state – rules are in place to be fair, is it keeping with the integrity of GLACURH
 - iv. St. Norbert – RBD looked over it, and sent it here. If don't like don't vote for it
 - v. U of Michigan - Agree with Ball state, set precedence of excellence. Not in constitution for out benefit
 - vi. SVSU – yield
 - vii. NCC – population size
 - viii. ISU - Shouldn't have been sent here.
 - ix. Whitewater – Formatting and grammar might not reflect school or nature of bid but not fair to ISU to run against a bid
 - x. WIU – call the questions
 - 1. No objections
 - 2. Votes are yes, no, abstain
 - a. Yes – 38
 - b. No – 2

c. Abstain - 2

- i. Edwardsville- trying to get students involved
- ii. Evansville – call the question
 - 1. No objection
 - 2. Vote

b. Discussion between medium size and large size schools

- i. U of Michigan – ISU went into detain, good potential nationally
- ii. WIU – comes down to content. UW- La Crosse has explanation
- iii. ISU – problem in lacrosse bid is lack of budget
- iv. Stevens point – yield
- v. Milwaukee – yield
- vi. W. Michigan – no cons on the La Crosse side
- vii. Edwardsville – call the question
 - a. No objections
 - 3. Vote is ISU, UW-La Crosse, no, abstain
 - 4. Entertain motion to recess
 - 5. St. Norbert move to recess
 - a. UIC – second
 - b. No objections
 - c. Recess at 12:06 and return at 12:50

VII. Call back to order at 1:00pm

VIII. Presentations

IX. Advisor OTY

- a. Pro/con
- b. Discussion
 - i. SVSU – supports UIC. Quotes say what she has done for the organization. Also, UIC stated regional involvement
 - ii. U Michigan – Involvement, UIC has more recent on all levels. The WIU involvement is from previous years. UIC actively involved
 - iii. St. Mary's – WIU is easier to read.
 - iv. UW Parkside - yield
 - v. Marquette - yield
 - vi. Illinois State – WIU looks like a resume. UIC talks about more why she's better
 - vii. U of I UC NRHH – WIU letters better
 - viii. Ball state – WIU shows she has experience, hall director. Turned around philanthropy during homecoming
 - ix. Michigan State – yield
 - x. Central Michigan NRHH – UIC is inspirational, lots of experience. Done a lot for her school and getting people involved in conferences. Tough to read, but format is a stipulation. Look at info inside bid
 - xi. Loyola – UIC is more creative on marketing. WIU is more straight forward
 - xii. Marquette NRHH – call the question

1. No objections
2. Vote chose's are UIC, WIU, no, abstain
3. Successful vote

X. Student of the year

- a. Pro/con
- b. Discussion
 - i. WIU – UIC bid done significant things. Only sophomore
 - ii. SVSU - ISU put together better. A lot more leadership involvement. Pie chart not flattering
 - iii. UW- Parkside – yield
 - iv. Ball state – agree about pie chart, but justifying that it isn't saying she isn't dependable
 - v. U of Michigan - yield
 - vi. Marquette NRHH – UIC bid lacks professionalism.
 - vii. GVSU – ISU did good, but can't compare to UIC. She is VP and carries on lots of duties. Great leadership experience
 - viii. Grand Valley NRHH - UIC bid, she presented program at GLACURH
 - ix. NIU - yield
 - x. Loyola – ISU she planned something with school present
 - xi. Ferris state – call the question
 1. No objections
 2. Vote chose's ar ISU, UIC, no, abstain
 3. Vote was successful

XI. Ball state – move to grove

- a. Green Bay – second
 - i. No objections (5 minutes)

XII. SALT

- a. Presentation
- b. Q & A
 - i. Saginaw valley NRHH – talk about evaluation of program? Actually had paper evaluation at program. Beyond that, build big brother program and get feedback. They are willing to help
 - ii. Marquette – at beginning a role play, explain if used during program? Goal of eboard was to learn leadership skills themselves. First time to facilitate a discussion, and did do this trust walk with discussion
 - iii. Ball state – what have board members learned? Very enlightened. Found that in the end focused on that we were all people. Patience, and friendliness.
 - iv. Depaul – they wrote down goals, what were they? It was difficult because area advisors did goals earlier in the day and sent in. EX: programs right away. Another goal was to work together as an organization.
 - v. Ball state NRHH – what improvements for next year? Branch out to other organizations and make it feasible for area councils
 - vi. Stout NRHH – size? 13000 students, 3500 resident. How many in training? 5 general members, 5 other buildings
 - vii. Marquette NRHH – majority of budget in food, what other resources did you use? Making your own food kept the budget low
 - viii. WIU – did you include staff involvement? YES. Had some associate directors of Res Life and facilitate discussions.

- ix. Michigan – what day of the week, times? Saturday from 9-4. Kept them busy throughout the day
 - x. Parkside – next year are activities the same? Can't make promises, but sure that much will be the same. Use evaluations from this year to build off of
 - xi. La Crosse NRHH – goals are good. How you can transpose page, what's largest challenge? Keeping people active and interactive withing the group. Making connections would be hard but large ice brakers would be good
 - xii. SVSU – how long did this go? One day
 - xiii. St. mary's – For OTM rec, what type of recognition? More formal, certificates are handed out
 - xiv. UIC – do you plan any programming session to see if goals are revisited? Ask the hall councils to go back and look at goals during meetings
 - 1. Time
 - 2. Motion to exhaust speakers list - failed
 - 3. Extend Q & A by 5 minutes
 - a. Consent
 - xv. Loyola – yield
 - xvi. U of M NRHH – do you require area councils to come to this? There is an RHA rep, but this was for all of them to come. If connection is not there, it is something to build on. It was also built through ice breakers. Did not get all areas to attend, so as part of big brother program working on that
 - xvii. Purdue – what was response on evaluation? Happy with what happened. Didn't have a whole lot of complaints, little bored right after lunch, but overall they think it's a great program.
 - xviii. Ball state – move to close Q & A
 - 1. Second by Milwaukee
 - a. Consent
 - b. No objections
- c. Pro/con
- d. Discussion
- i. Ball state – really liked theme, good for SALT bid.
 - ii. WIU – look at immediate impact, how often that fast turn around
 - iii. Western Michigan – yield
 - iv. Ferris State - yield
 - v. Parkside – hard to put on smaller school
 - vi. Marquette – liked processing and reflection. Mindset was always on the students and attendees. More bonding over food.
 - vii. GV NRHH – yield
 - viii. U of M – don't think the presentation did this program justice. More team effort in presentation
 - ix. ISU – presentation was adorable. It was great and good impact on campus.
 - x. St. Mary's – the presentation, the determination was unified
 - xi. UW – La Crosse –great initiative
 - xii. E Michigan – Yield
 - xiii. Marquette NRHH – development of planners was great as well. If time doing something like this and now presenting to NCCs
 - xiv. W Michigan NRHH – call the question
 - 1. No objections

2. Vote chose's are SUJE, no, abstain
3. Vote was successful

XIII. Philanthropy

- a. Presentation
 - i. World Wildlife Fund
 - ii. United way
 - iii. Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation
- b. Discussion
 - i. SVSU-at svsu already do fundraising for united way, already seen at at iu, would be in gl's better interest to support wwf
 - ii. EIU-agree with Saginaw valley, a lot of colleges are pushing to go green and for sustainability, wwf has that goal
 - iii. WMU-yield
 - iv. Depaul-yield
 - v. BSU-picked three good organization, couldn't go wrong with any of these, united way is most in line with rhas
 - vi. GVSU NRHH-with united way can get diverse leadership opportunities
 - vii. Windsor-Yield
 - viii. CMU- support wwf- because polar bear is mowii, and endangered
 - ix. WIU-think about which are most and least supported, speaking on half of jdrf, diabetes more prevalent than ever, wwf is really difficult to get people to get people to deal with sustainability
 - x. UWSP-JDRF would be good because it is something that is prevalent, can give people hope and give idea to be leaders later on
 - xi. EMU-JDRF or WWF would open up a new opportunity for new kind of programming on campus
 - xii. U of M- support WWF because in time of economic struggle need to stand up for animals and environment
 - xiii. UofM NRHH-look at what we can do both on campus and at conference and what programs we can expand on, something we can do something for
 - xiv. Illinois State-Support JDRF because united way is more of a local program, with wwf sustainability already being addressed, health issues should be brought up
 - xv. NCC-should look at local in our region, how can we help directly in terms of locality?
 - JDRF has places in region
 - WWF doesn't
 - xvi. Carbodale-US is leader in pollution so should consider WWF
 - xvii. gvsu-should support wwf because very recognizable ad not just about sustainable
 - xviii. marquette nrhh-united way has a strong base in the us and Canada and has a strong social justice offer and gives opportunity to get monetary donation and to get experience working with united way
 - xix. wmu nrhh-call the question
 - CMU objects-still have people on list
 - xx. WMU NRHH-yeild to wmu
 - xxi. Wmu-move to end discussion
 - a. Seconded by uwsp
 - b. NCC-Move to vote on philanthropy

- i. Seconded by uwsp
 - ii. Parkside to caucus
 - b.UWGB-seconded
 - c. Vote
 - i. Vote was successful

XIV.OCM presentation

XV. National votes

- a. Daryl NAA

XVI. Committees

- a. Recruitment and retention – Mickey and Melissa
- b. Legislation – David
- c. GLACURH in the halls – Travis
- d. Public Relations – Isaac
- e. Special Projects – Hannah and Andrew
- f. OTM selections – Paige

XVII. Sub-regional breakout

XVIII. Move to recess until closing ceremonies by NIU NRHH

- a. Second by UIC
 - i. Consent

XIX. Recess at 5:05PM